

NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS (NTUA) SCHOOL OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING LAB. OF THERMAL TURBOMACHINES PARALLEL CFD & Optimization UNIT (PCOpt/NTUA)

The Continuous Adjoint Method in Aero/Hydrodynamic Optimisation Part II: Adjoint Optimisation in OpenFOAM. Hands-on Training

Dr. Kyriakos C. Giannakoglou, Professor NTUA Dr. Evangelos (Vaggelis) M. Papoutsis-Kiachagias

November 9, 2022

Before we begin

- Connect to the training box using the instructions and credentials provided to you
- Copy cases for the training to your home directory
- >> cp -r /opt/OpenFOAM/extra/optimization/2022_11_adjointTraining ~/.
- All cases to be covered are variants of the tutorials for adjointOptimisationFoam, found under

\$FOAM_TUTORIALS/incompressible/adjointOptimisationFoam

Many variants of these cases exist there, showcasing different code features. Make sure you explore them!

Throughout the training, you will be asked to run a number of tutorial cases. Depending on the number of the participants, these might be run in serial or in parallel. Use the Allrun scripts to run in serial or Allrun.parallel scripts to run in parallel, according to your instructor's guidelines



What we will discuss: adjointOptimisationFoam

- An all-in-one OpenFOAM executable implementing an integrated, gradient-based optimisation workflow
- Product of a 12 years of development at PCOpt/NTUA and FOSS
- Integrated into the official OpenFOAM version in collaboration with OpenCFD
- Focus on shape optimisation through some simple examples
- Adjoint code corresponds to the one in v2206
- User manual:

https://openfoam.com/documentation/files/adjointOptimisationFoamManual_v2006.pdf Covers all functionality up until v2106

Themis Skamagkis Andreas Margetis Nikolaos Galanos Dr. Konstantinos Gkaragkounis Dr. Ioannis Kavvadias Dr. Alexandros Zymaris James Koch Dr. Andrew Heather

Acknowledgments:

Prof. K.C. Giannakoglou, <u>kgianna@mail.ntua.gr</u>, Dr. E. Papoutsis-Kiachagias, <u>vaggelisp@gmail.com</u>



3

Current and future status of adjointOptimisationFoam

OpenFOAM v1906

- Adjoint to incompressible, steady-state flows
- Differentiation of the Spalart-Allmaras turbulence model
- Computation of sensitivity maps with the E-SI approach (see first part of the lecture)

OpenFOAM v1912

- Surface and volume parameterization using volumetric B-Splines
- Automated shape optimisation loops
- Computation of sensitivity derivatives using the FI approach (see first part of the lecture)

OpenFOAM v2006

- New objective function related to the qualitative evaluation and minimization of noise
- Sensitivity contributions from rotating boundaries

OpenFOAM v2112

• Smoothing of sensitivity maps

OpenFOAM v2206

• Adjoint to the k-ω SST turbulence model

Beyond

Plenty of more capabilities available in-house (topology optimisation, unsteady adjoints, CHT, etc)
 Prof. K.C. Giannakoglou, kgianna@mail.ntua.gr, Dr. E. Papoutsis-Kiachagias, vaggelisp@gmail.com



The tutorial case

• Change directory to the laminar variant of the sbend case

>> cd ~/2022_11_adjointTraining/sbend/laminar/optimisation

- Case is derived from \$FOAM_TUTORIALS/incompressible/adjointOptimisationFoam/shapeOptimisation/sbend/laminar/opt/\ unconstrained/BFGS/ but with a smaller mesh to get results faster
- Laminar flow within an S-bend 2D duct, mesh is provided
- Re = 1000
- Objective: minimize volume-weighted total pressure losses $J = -\int_{S_{IO}} \left(p + \frac{1}{2}v_k^2\right) v_i n_i dS$





Gradient-based Shape Optimisation Loop



Gradient-based Shape Optimisation Loop

Prof. K.C. Giannakoglou, kgianna@mail.ntua.gr, Dr. E. Papoutsis-Kiachagias, vaggelisp@gmail.com

7

Gradient-based Shape optimisation Loop



Gradient-based Shape optimisation Loop



Prof. K.C. Giannakoglou, kgianna@mail.ntua.gr, Dr. E. Papoutsis-Kiachagias, vaggelisp@gmail.com

Gradient-based Shape optimisation Loop



Defined in system/optimisationDict.primalSolvers

Incompressible, steady-state flows

- SIMPLE is incorporated into *adjointOptimisationFoam*
- Multi-point optimisation supported; can define more than one primal solvers

Desired for optimisation, if possible

- Well converged solution (e.g. residuals of ~1.e-05, 1.e-06)
- Non-oscillating residuals



Prof. K.C. Giannakoglou, kgianna@mail.ntua.gr, Dr. E. Papoutsis-Kiachagias, vaggelisp@gmail.com



Gradient-based Shape optimisation Loop



Defined in system/optimisationDict.adjointManagers, within each adjoint solver

Quantity to be optimised

- adjointOptimisationFoam always assumes minimization
- Objectives can be defined as (surface or volume) integral quantities
- A number of objective functions are available: Forces, moments, total pressure losses etc ...
- Multiple objective functions can be tackled by concatenating them into a single one using appropriate weights

$$J = w_1 J_1 + w_2 J_2$$



Gradient-based Shape optimisation Loop



Discretization in system/fvSchemes, Relaxation in system/fvSolution

$$\begin{split} R^{q} &= -\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial J_{\Omega'}}{\partial p} = 0 \\ R^{u}_{i} &= u_{j} \frac{\partial v_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} - \frac{\partial (u_{i}v_{j})}{\partial x_{j}} - \frac{\partial \tau^{a}_{ij}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial J_{\Omega'}}{\partial v_{i}} = 0 \ , \ i = 1, 2(, 3) \end{split}$$

ATC AC

Adjoint PDEs (laminar flows):

- Similar form with the Navier-Stokes equations. A few noticeable differences
- Adjoint convection (AC): adjoint velocity is convected by the (minus) primal velocity. Linear equations!
- Adjoint Transpose Convection (ATC): Non-conservative term. Numerically tricky in real-word applications.
- Source terms if the objective function includes volume integrals containing p or \boldsymbol{v}_i

Additional terms and equations when dealing with turbulent flows



Gradient-based Shape optimisation Loop



Defined in 0/pa and 0/Ua

$$egin{aligned} & u_{\langle n
angle} = u_j n_j = - rac{\partial J_{S_{I-W},i}}{\partial p} n_i \ & u_{\langle t
angle}^I = u_i t_i^I = rac{\partial J_{S_{I-W},k}}{\partial au_{ij}} n_k t_i^I n_j + rac{\partial J_{S_{I-W},k}}{\partial au_{ij}} n_k t_j^I n_i \ & u_{\langle t
angle}^{II} = u_i t_i^{II} = rac{\partial J_{S_{I-W},k}}{\partial au_{ij}} n_k t_i^{II} n_j + rac{\partial J_{S_{I-W},k}}{\partial au_{ij}} n_k t_j^{II} n_i \end{aligned}$$

Adjoint Boundary conditions:

- Depend on the type (not value!) of primal boundary conditions!
- Most common for incompressible flows: Dirichlet Inlet $ec{v}$, Dirichlet Outlet p
- Depend on the derivatives of J w.r.t. the pressure, velocity and stress tensor

Gradient-based Shape optimisation Loop



Defined in system/optimisationDict.adjointManagers



How many adjoint equations do we have to solve?

- One for each objective for which we need the gradient
 - Gradients of linear combinations of functions defined at a single operating point can be computed with one adjoint solution!
 - Advanced methods dealing with constraints (e.g. SQP, constraint projection) need the gradient of the constraint function separately
- (At least) One for each operating point solved

Gradient-based Shape optimisation Loop



Defined in system/optimisationDict.optimisation.sensitivities

Two mathematical formulations for shape optimisation

- Based on Surface Integrals, (E)-SI
 - Need to solve an additional adjoint grid displacement PDE for m_i^a
 - Boundary conditions are created automatically
 - Need to define a linear solver in *fvSolution*
 - No relaxation is required
 - Solved at a post-processing level, i.e. after the solution of the adjoint mean flow equations
- Based on Field Integrals, FI
 - Need to compute the grid sensitivities fields, i.e. $\frac{\delta x_k}{\delta b_m}$
 - Depending on the grid displacement model this might be computed by
 - solving additional PDEs (e.g. PDE-based grid displacement)
 - Analytically (e.g. Volumetric B-Splines)



Gradient-based Shape optimisation Loop



Defined in

system/optimisationDict.optimisation.updateMethod

Compute the update of the design variables based on $\frac{\delta J}{\delta b_n}$ through

$$b_n^{new} = b_n^{old} + \eta s_n$$

- Unconstrained optimisation
 - Steepest descent
 - Conjugate Gradient
 - Quasi-Newton methods: BFGS, SR1
- Constrained optimisation
 - Constraint projection (exceptional for linear constraints)
 - SQP
- Step (η) definition
 - Direct (usually not practical)
 - Through a max. desired deformation in the initial opt. cycle



Gradient-based Shape optimisation Loop



Defined in

system/optimisationDict.optimisation.meshMovement

- Need to translate Δb_n into a new geometry and computational mesh
- Remeshing can be costly and possibly result to inconsistent sensitivity derivatives. Grid displacement is preferable
- Depends on the parameterization and chosen grid displacement method
 - Usually, one tool for parameterization (e.g. NURBS), a different one for grid displacement (e.g. Laplace PDEs)
 - Volumetric B-Splines handles both simultaneously
- checkMesh ran after each update to check mesh quality



S-bend: optimisation results

Run the optimization loop

>> ./Allrun > log 2> err & (~2.5 min/4 procs)

What to examine:

- Is J reduced?
- Is *J* converged? (history in *optimisation/objective* folder)
- Have the flow equations converged? (check log file)
- Is the mesh valid at the optimised solutions? (check log file or checkMesh)
- What is the mechanism behind the reduction in *J*?
- Don't be afraid of exotic solutions!





J/J_{init}



S-bend: Computing sensitivity maps

- Compute $\frac{\delta J}{\delta x_i} n_i$
- A few changes in *optimisationDict and controlDict*
- Tells us how each boundary node has to move to reduce *J*
 - Red: move against the surface normal (inwards)
 - Blue: move towards the surface normal (outwards)
 - White-ish: insignificant
- Computed on the initial geometry: does not mean that the optimised geometry will follow this ! ...
- Good feedback towards the designer
- Useful in placing morhing boxes







- Change to the sensitivity map folder
 >> cd ~/2022_11_adjointTraining/sbend/laminar/sensitivityMap
- Run adjointOptimisationFoam, configured to compute the sensitivity map
 >> ./Allrun > log 2> err & (~0.5 min/4 processors)
- Visualize the **pointSensNormalas1ESI** field in paraview. Use a symmetric scale!
- Inspect the differences in *optimisationDict and controlDict* between the optimisation and sensivity map cases
 >> cd ~/2022_11_adjointTraining/sbend/laminar
 - >> vim -d {optimisation,sensitivityMap}/system/optimisationDict
 - >> vim -d {optimisation,sensitivityMap}/system/controlDict



If you are not familiar with the vim text editor, you may use **meld** or **kdiff3** visual editors to compare files



S-bend: Smoothing the sensitivity map

- In more complex/industrial cases, checkerboards occur in the computed sensitivity maps.
- This problem becomes pronounced in meshes built with snappyHexMesh!
- The direction of favorable surface displacement becomes ambiguous...
- Smooth the sensitivity-map, G, by solving

$$-R^2 \frac{\partial^2 \hat{G}}{\partial x_j^2} + \hat{G} = G$$

on a *finiteArea* mesh.

• Be careful when comparing smoothed sensitivity maps on different meshes!...



S-bend: Smoothing the sensitivity map – Additional Entries



 Additional entries in system/optimisationDict.optimisation.sensitivities related to the Laplace-Beltrami equation

$$-R^2 \frac{\partial^2 \hat{G}}{\partial x_j^2} + \hat{G} = G$$

- The smoothing radius is either specified explicitly, or computed as a multiple of the average surface edges' length.
- Boundary conditions for the smooth sensitivity field are created automatically.
- For the creation of the *faMesh*, an *faMeshDefinition* dictionary can be optionally provided in the *system* folder.
- *faSchemes & faSolution* should be present in the *system* directory.



S-bend: Smoothing the sensitivity map

- Change to the smooth sensitivity map folder
 >> cd ~/2022_11_adjointTraining/sbend/laminar/smoothSensMap
- Run adjointOptimisationFoam, configured to compute the sensitivity map and smooth it for various radiuses
 >> ./Allrun > log 2> err & (~75 sec/1 processor)
- Visualize the faceSensNormalas1ESIRmult10 and smoothedSurfaceSensas1ESIRmult10 fields in paraview. Use a symmetric scale!
- Inspect the differences in *optimisationDict* between the sensitivity map and smooth sensivity map cases
 >> cd ~/2022_11_adjointTraining/sbend/laminar
 - >> vim -d {sensitivityMap,smoothSensMap}/system/optimisationDict



If you are not familiar with vim text editor, you may use **meld** or **kdiff3** visual editors to compare files



Revisiting the tutorial case – Turbulent flows

- Change to the turbulent variant of the sbend case
 >> cd ~/2022_11_adjointTraining/sbend/turbulent/losses/
- Case from \$FOAM_TUTORIALS/incompressible/adjointOptimisationFoam/\ shapeOptimisation/sbend/turbulent/opt/BFGS/op1 with the addition of the adjoint to k-Omega SST
- Turbulent flow within an S-bend duct.
- $Re = \mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{10}^5$
- Spalart-Allmaras & k-ω SST turbulence models
- Objective: minimize volume-weighted total pressure losses $J = -\int_{S_{LO}} \left(p + \frac{1}{2}v_k^2\right) v_i n_i dS$







- Flow equations: SA: additional entries in system/fvSchemes related to distance calculations. Why is it important?
 - Adjoint equations: new PDEs to be solved for the adjoint ٠ turbulence model variables
 - New terms to the sensitivity derivatives ٠







Turbulent flows: Changes – Additional entries

- The Spalart-Allmaras PDE includes the distance from the wall in its production and destruction terms.
- Distance field changes due to changes in the geometry during the optimisation. Need to account for it in the adjoint formulation.
- A number of methods to compute the distance field. Choice through system/fvSchemes.wallDist.
- Typical method is *meshWave*. Not easily differentiable using adjoints since it is an algebraic method, not a PDE.
- Preferred method in combination with adjoints: *advectionDiffusion* (the eikonal or Hamiltion-Jacobi equation).
- Solves a PDE for *yWall* so additional entries are required in *fvSolution/fvSchemes.* Boundary conditions created automatically.

• Do not use bounded schemes for the convection term! Prof. K.C. Giannakoglou, <u>kgianna@mail.ntua.gr</u>, Dr. E. Papoutsis-Kiachagias, <u>vaggelisp@gmail.com</u>



Turbulent flows: Changes – Additional entries Define design variables b_n Solve flow equations $\rightarrow U$ Compute / Solve adjoint equations $\rightarrow \Psi$ **Compute** $\delta J / \delta b_n (U, \Psi)$ **Update design variables** Update **mesh**

- New PDE(s) to be solved for the adjoint turbulence model variable(s)
 SA: nuaTilda, k-ω SST: ka, wa
 - Adjoint turbulence model defined in constant/adjointRASProperties
 - New entries in *fvSolution, fvSchemes*
 - Boundary conditions defined in SA: 0/nuaTilda, k-ω SST: 0/{ka, wa}



- Adjoint to the eikonal PDE gives additional contributions to $\delta J/\delta b_n$
 - The adjoint eikonal PDE is decoupled from the rest of the adjoint PDEs. Solved when computing sensitivity derivatives to compute the adjoint distance field, *da*.

$$R^{\Delta_a} = -2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(\Delta_a\frac{\partial\Delta}{\partial x_j}\right) + \widetilde{\nu}_a\widetilde{\nu}C_{\Delta} = 0$$

- New entries in *fvSolution, fvSchemes.*
- Boundary conditions created automatically.
- (Optional) additional entries in the sensitivities part of *optimisationDict*. All have default values and can be omitted to ease the setup.



```
S-bend: optimisation results for a turbulent flow (1)
```

• Run the optimization loops

```
>> cd /2022_11_adjointTraining/sbend/turbulent/losses/SA
>>./Allrun.parallel > log 2> err &
(~8 min/4 procs)
>> cd /2022_11_adjointTraining/sbend/turbulent/losses/kOmegaSST
>> ./Allrun.parallel > log 2> err &
(~12 min/4 procs)
```

• Same objective and parameterization as in the laminar case

At a first glance, both the final geometries and the course of the optimisation look similar for both turbulence models





S-bend: optimisation results for a turbulent flow (2)





- They do follow the same trend however
- The objective is reduced by almost the same percentage (~11.5 %) with both turbulence models

S-bend: comparison of optimal geometries for laminar and turbulent flows

Visualize the optimised geometries from the $k\text{-}\omega$ SST and Spalart-Allmaras cases in Paraview

- Create the blank Paraview files
 - >> touch ~/2022_11_adjointTraining/sbend/turbulent/kOmegaSST/foam.foam
 - >> touch ~/2022_11_adjointTraining/sbend/turbulent/losses/SA/foam.foam
- Open them both in Paraview
- Overlay the two optimised geometries and their flow fields



Takeaway messages:

- Adjoint supports optimisation loops at a small CPU cost (\sim 20 cycles $\rightarrow \sim$ 40 flow solutions)
- Ideal for both early stage development and refinement
- More optimisation types available
 - Topology optimisation (design of internal flows with known inlets/outlets)
 - Active flow control (jet-based optimisation)
 - A Posteriori Error Analysis (optimally refine your mesh to compute an accurate objective)
 - Design under uncertainties
- Optimisation (like CFD) is not magic. Take care when defining your problem
- Before accepting (or discarding) an optimised geometry
 - Check the convergence of the flow equations
 - Check the mesh quality
- Try to understand the mechanisms behind the objective reduction
 - Often leads to better designs and/or better defined optimisation problems!



Additional topics covered through the tutorials under **\$FOAM_TUTORIALS/incompressible/adjointOptimisationFoam**

- Adjoint to turbulent flows shapeOptimisation/sbend/turbulent/opt/BFGS/op1
- Effect of the update method shapeOptimisation/sbend/laminar/opt/unconstrained
- Constrained optimisation shapeOptimisation/naca0012/lift/opt/constraintProjection
- 3D, industrial-like cases shapeOptimisation/motorbike

When in doubt about the case settings, you can consult the manual

