

Problem Statement

- A newly introduced, capacitively coupled, VHF remote plasma source has demostrated unique functionality, warranting improved understanding
 Empirically derived evaluation imposes practical limits to comprehensive
- characterization

 Discretized simulation methods have been used previously to optimize the
- mechanical and electrical performance of the plasma source
 Multi-physics simulation methods are now being used to characterize the plasma performance of the device

Objective

 Generate and validate multi-physics simulation tools which allow for a complete characterization of the plasma

Unique simulation challenges, relative to typical CCP reactors

- Operation at VHF (60 MHz) frequency
- Non-traditional, curvilinear geometry
- Asymmetric electrode structure
- Broad operating space
- Remote application need to predict downstream effects

Background

- A Remote Plasma Source (RPS) is a plasma-generating device (source) which is installed remotely from the process chamber
- An RPS can introduce a desirable stream of radicals to the substrate, while minimizing damage from higher energy ions and photons which are
- The archetypal RPS is an inductively coupled plasma (ICP) chamber, often toroidal in design
- Conventional applications for remote plasma sources include: chamber clean, process chamber exhaust abatement, stripping, or ashing processes
- In recent years, RPS technologies are demonstrating advantage in a wider scope of applications: radical generation for direct processing, low energy processing, and augmentation or replacement of in-situ sources
- Previous papers:
- S. Polak, D. Carter, "Measurement and Simulation of a VHF Remote Plasma Source," in Society of Vacuum Coaters TechCon, Chicago, IL, 2014
- D. Carter, et al. "Plasma Generation and Delivery From a VHF Remote Source," in Society of Vacuum Coaters TechCon, Providence, RI, 2013.
- S. Polak, et al. "Remote Plasma Source Mechanical Analysis Methodology," in AVS International Symposium and Exhibition, Tampa, 2012.



Characterization and Simulation of a VHF Remote Plasma Source

Scott Polak¹, Dan Carter¹, Ananth Bhoj², Abhra Roy²

Power

Previous Simulations



Figure p2: Fracture mechanics modeling of known defects

Empirical Plasma Measurement

Langmuir Probe:

inspection methods

- Scientific Systems SmartProbe[®]
- Inserted axially into the output section of plasma source
 Measurements from 3.8 to 30.5 cm spacing to powered
- electrode
- 4.5 cm increments
- Argon
 Flow: 50 sccm
- Pressure: 0.1, 0.5, and 1.0 torr
 Power: 50-200 W



Figure m1: Schematic showing installation of translatable Langmuir probe within the remote plasma source and downstream tubulature











²ESI US R&D Inc. 6767 Old Madison Pike Huntsville, AL 35806, USA

CFD-ACE+ Multi-Physics Solver Coupled Physics for Plasma Simulation: • Fluid Dynamics

- Heat Transfer
 Chemistry
- Electromagnetic
 - Plasma
 Boltzmann / Kinetics
 - ------
- Plasma Equations:
- Poisson's equation is solved sequentially with charged species transport equations and surface charge

 $\nabla \cdot \varepsilon \nabla \phi = 0$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \cdot N_i + \nabla (\Gamma_i) = S_i$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \cdot \rho + \sum_i \nabla (\Gamma_i q_i) = 0$

Neutral transport equations are addressed
 The electron energy equation is solved for electron temperature, considering power deposition and collisional losses

 $\frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \cdot (n_e T_e) + \nabla \left(\frac{5}{2} T_e \Gamma_e - \chi \nabla T_e \right) = P - n_e \sum_r N_r K_r$

Electron Energy Density (EEDF) Look-Up Tables

- The dependence of electron reaction and transport coefficients on the non-Maxwellian EEDF is accounted for using lookup tables.
- Lookup tables are created from the numerical solution of the Boltzmann equation to create tabulated data that is imported into the multidimensional simulation:
- Reaction rate constant [®], diffusion coefficient (D), and mobility of electrons are calculated from the EEDF

| n_{e} | E | T _e | R_1 | R_2 | R_N | R_N | μ | D_{d} |
|------------|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| | | | (Fwd) | (Bwd) | (Fwd) | (Bwd) | | |
| (m^{-2}) | $V/_{m}$ | eV | $(m^2 s^{-1})$ | $(m^3 s^{-1})$ | $(m^3 s^{-1})$ | $(m^2 s^{-1})$ | $(m^2 s^{-1} V^{-1})$ | $(m^2 s^{-1})$ |

Conclusions

- Plasma density magnitude and its relationship with power correlate well to empirical data
- Plasma density gradients (relationship with position) show a disparity with empirical data, and warrant further study
- The simulations are accurately predicting the relationship between plasma density and power, as well as plasma density and pressure

Future Work

- Continue to refine the simulations for Argon:
 Achieve better correlation to empirical data, especially plasma distribution and position accuracy
 - Enhance computing efficiency and reduce solve time
 - Understand the impact of various simulation options
 - Heat transfer & Stochastic heating
 - Lossy dielectric layers
 - Reactor-circuit coupling
 Ion momentum
- Explore other feed-gas chemistries, including H2, O2, and NF3.
- Perform H2 radical output/delivery optimization, parametric study
- Use plasma simulation to optimize the geometry of future RPS designs
 - e plasma simulation to optimize the geometry of future RPS de

